

## Redmine - Defect #11691

### 404 response when deleting a user from the edit page

2012-08-23 19:14 - Etienne Massip

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Jean-Philippe Lang	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Accounts / authentication	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	2.1.0	<b>Affected version:</b>	1.4.2
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Description</b>			
Since <a href="#">r9678</a> for <a href="#">#10865</a> , deleting a user from the user edit page redirects to the deleted user edit page, a.k.a HTTP 404 error page.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Redmine - Defect #10865: Filter reset when deleting locked user			Closed

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 10234 - 2012-08-24 23:23 - Etienne Massip

Use a back\_url parameter instead of referrer to refresh the page after user deletion (#11691).

##### Revision 10235 - 2012-08-24 23:41 - Etienne Massip

Reverted changes made to tests for r1893 (#11691).

##### Revision 10238 - 2012-08-26 11:29 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Reverted r10234 and r10235 that broke redirect after login (#11691).

Tests in account\_controller\_test.rb should not have been changed.

##### Revision 10239 - 2012-08-26 12:40 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Do not use escaped back\_url param (#11691).

##### Revision 10240 - 2012-08-26 12:50 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Fixed that destroying a user from the edit page returns a 404 response (#11691).

#### History

##### #1 - 2012-08-24 23:28 - Etienne Massip

- Status changed from Confirmed to Resolved
- Target version changed from Candidate for next minor release to 2.1.0
- Resolution set to Fixed

Should be fixed with [r10234](#).

Note that I had to revert [r1893](#) ([#1826](#)) because URI.parse is expecting an escaped URL (try to give it some UTF-8 encoded character and it will throw an exception).

##### #2 - 2012-08-26 12:08 - Etienne Massip

Yes I think they should have because [r1893](#) was committed on a "can't reproduce" basis and broke any possibilities to include a UTF-8 encoded parameter in the URL (was utf-8 RoR param in this case).

I don't see the point of pre-escaping a parameter which will be necessarily URL-escaped at the time the request is issued.

And I think that [#1826](#) could have been fixed by using relative URLs which wouldn't have been processed by Apache mod\_rewrite.

Could you please discuss them before reverting other people's commits?

It makes 2 times for reasons that could have been discussed before, it's rude, very frustrating and not really motivating.

##### #3 - 2012-08-26 13:03 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Etienne Massip wrote:

Yes I think they should have

Sure but changing a test just to make it pass is not the way to go either. Updating and getting an error when logging in is pretty frustrating too :-(

**#4 - 2012-08-26 13:05 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

- *Subject changed from Redirected to user edit page after its deletion (404 error) to 404 response when deleting a user from the edit page*
- *Status changed from Resolved to Closed*
- *Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang*

**#5 - 2012-08-26 13:18 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

Could you please discuss them before reverting other people's commits?

OK, sorry for that. Next time, let's discuss before actually starting to do the changes that should be discussed. Thanks for digging into this anyway Etienne.

**#6 - 2012-08-26 22:50 - Etienne Massip**

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

Sure but changing a test just to make it pass is not the way to go either. Updating and getting an error when logging in is pretty frustrating too :-(

I did not change the test just to make it pass but because it was part of [r1893](#) which I reverted; the after-login redirect was then expected to work just as it did before [r1893](#) (or a test failure) but as you discovered it was not the case and I'm really sorry I broke it :(

Next time, let's discuss before actually starting to do the changes that should be discussed.

I did not expect any side-effect but as I updated common code I still added you as a watcher and didn't merge anything to branches or closed the issue.

As a regression, shouldn't it be fixed in 1.4 and 2.0 branches as well?