

## Redmine - Patch #12746

### Add include=journals support to issues REST api

2013-01-05 12:05 - Derek Slone-Zhen

|   |          |                        |           |
|---|----------|------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Status:</b>  | New      | <b>Start date:</b>     |           |
| <b>Priority:</b>  | Normal   | <b>Due date:</b>       |           |
| <b>Assignee:</b>  |          | <b>% Done:</b>         | 0%        |
| <b>Category:</b>  | REST API | <b>Estimated time:</b> | 0.00 hour |
| <b>Target version:</b>  |          |                        |           |
| <b>Description</b>  |          |                        |           |
| <p>To see what has changed on an issue it seems necessary to obtain the history of journal objects that are associated with the issue. I was trying to do this through the REST API and discovered that I could get the journals for a SINGLE issue by adding "include=journals" as a query argument, but that it appeared to be ignored when used on the issues index. I coded my way around this client side by requesting each issue individually to get around this, but it seemed inefficient. So, attached is a patch that will add this functionality into app/views/issues/index.api.rsb.</p> <p>This was made against svn+ssh://rubyforge.org/var/svn/redmine/trunk@11110</p> <p>This is my first Open Source contribution and my first venture into Ruby and Rails - I'm open to polite coaching if required!</p> |          |                        |           |
| <b>Related issues:</b>  |          |                        |           |
| Related to Redmine - Defect #35242: Journals are not returned via API   |          | <b>Closed</b>          |           |

#### History

##### #1 - 2013-01-08 19:08 - Jean-Philippe Lang

While I understand your concern, I'm not really in favor of this change as it can lead to huge API responses.

##### #2 - 2013-01-11 04:15 - Derek Slone-Zhen

Thanks for the feedback.

A part of me just thinks that being *able* to ask for it makes sense, and that people need to be 'trusted' as to wheather or not to use the feature.

Another option that I was toying with was to implement (at least for the REST API) an /issues/<id>/journals.xml route. Would you be more sympathetic to that?

##### #3 - 2018-08-08 18:03 - Bubi =

When you need to download journals for the listed issues the only alternative is to call the API with every issue.

We have also to consider that we are speaking about trusted API users so I think that the suggested implementation could be a good solution.

##### #4 - 2021-05-17 23:06 - Holger Just

- Related to Defect #35242: Journals are not returned via API added

#### Files

|  |         |            |                  |
|--|---------|------------|------------------|
| Add include=journals support to issues REST api.diff | 1.41 KB | 2013-01-05 | Derek Slone-Zhen |
|--|---------|------------|------------------|