Redmine - Feature #1410

When downloading a file from the repository : don't fill the memory with bytes

2008-06-09 14:04 - Paul Rivier

Status:	New	Start date:	2008-06-09
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Jean-Philippe Lang	% Done:	0%
Category:	SCM	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:			
Description			
1. dumping a fil	e into the RAM is a bit risky, as it is qu		roblems are raised when using large files : rger than the memory size. And we don't
1. dumping a fil want servers 2. it locks a rub Point 1) can proba Point 1) and 2) ca asking the webse	e into the RAM is a bit risky, as it is qu to swap :) y thread during the download time, wh ably be adressed using streams, but th n be fixed by dumping file content into	uiet common now to have files lar hich is a waste of ressources his won't fix point 2) o a temporary file in the filesystem	
1. dumping a fil want servers 2. it locks a rub Point 1) can proba Point 1) and 2) ca asking the webset work.	e into the RAM is a bit risky, as it is qu to swap :) y thread during the download time, wh ably be adressed using streams, but th n be fixed by dumping file content into rver (apache, or any other) to serve th	uiet common now to have files lar hich is a waste of ressources his won't fix point 2) o a temporary file in the filesystem	rger than the memory size. And we don't
1. dumping a fil want servers 2. it locks a rub Point 1) can proba Point 1) and 2) ca asking the webser work. What do you think	e into the RAM is a bit risky, as it is qu to swap :) y thread during the download time, wh ably be adressed using streams, but th n be fixed by dumping file content into rver (apache, or any other) to serve th	uiet common now to have files lar hich is a waste of ressources his won't fix point 2) o a temporary file in the filesystem	rger than the memory size. And we don't
1. dumping a fil want servers 2. it locks a rub Point 1) can proba Point 1) and 2) ca asking the webset work. What do you think Related issues:	e into the RAM is a bit risky, as it is qu to swap :) y thread during the download time, wh ably be adressed using streams, but th n be fixed by dumping file content into rver (apache, or any other) to serve th	uiet common now to have files lar hich is a waste of ressources his won't fix point 2) o a temporary file in the filesystem le content as a static file. That wo	rger than the memory size. And we don't

History

#1 - 2008-11-11 10:36 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version deleted (0.8)

#2 - 2008-11-24 23:27 - Pierre Paysant-Le Roux

- File buffered_io.patch added

Here is a patch that tries to solve the problem of downloading a file larger than the memory size. I had to implement a buffered IO with a is_binary_data? that encapsulate an IO instance reading the result of the cat command ("svn cat" for example). This buffered IO uses 4Mo maximum of ram to read the beginning of the file and do the is_binary_data? test from String class. It can maybe be reduced without influencing the test accuracy...

I tested the patch only with subversion adapter for the moment.

#3 - 2008-11-25 11:19 - Pierre Paysant-Le Roux

This code doesn't work as expected. I have to work a bit more on it...

#4 - 2008-12-08 12:05 - Pierre Paysant-Le Roux

- File buffered_io.patch added

Here is a patch that seems to work correctly. I included some unit tests.

#5 - 2009-01-05 16:55 - Don Jonsn

I tried your patch on my machine, which has 512mb RAM and I need to transfer files of 400mb size. Unfortunately this does not work, the machine runs out of memory and does not respond anymore. If I try smaller files (50mb) it works, but the memory is not freed by redmine after the transfer completed. I think this is a serious problem and it is sad to see that it was taken out of 0.8 as target.

#6 - 2009-01-06 19:45 - Jean-Philippe Lang

I also tried the latest patch. It works much better than the current code with with files around 100MB.

But it doesn't handle a 700MB file (I've got 3GB of RAM):

```
NoMemoryError (failed to allocate memory):
    /app/controllers/repositories_controller.rb:135:in `write'
    /app/controllers/repositories_controller.rb:135:in `entry'
    d:/dev/ruby/lib/ruby/gems/1.8/gems/actionpack-2.1.2/lib/action_controller/cgi_process.rb:212:in `call'
```

What is strange is that I get the same error when trying to stream a file located on the disk using the standard send_file Rails method in streaming mode: <u>http://api.rubvonrails.org/classes/ActionController/Streaming.html#M000266</u>.

Pierre, do you have this problem with similar files?

#7 - 2009-01-08 11:15 - Pierre Paysant-Le Roux

I only tested that all I give to the response is bufferized. It's the case, as when using the send_file method. The problem yet with memory usage is caused by Mongrel or Webrick that uses a StringIO to buffer the response.

It seems that Rails+Mongrel is unable to stream a response ! The send_file problem is a known problem. Mongrel need as much memory as the file size. The memory is freed by the garbage collector a long time after the response has been transmitted. This is exactly the same problem with the patch I purposed.

An alternative could be x-send-file : http://wiki.rubyonrails.org/rails/pages/HowtoSendFilesFast.

#8 - 2009-01-13 15:46 - Don Jonsn

As a workaround, could you add the possibility to add links to files in the files component that can then be handled as arbitrary http-requests by apache?

Issues 502 and 2205 requested having links to files as well.

#9 - 2012-06-27 18:56 - Anton Gillert

+ 1

#10 - 2012-06-27 19:30 - Rafi Greenberg

+1000

#11 - 2012-12-17 21:02 - Jan from Planio www.plan.io

We've been running into this a lot at Planio Redmine Hosting recently.

Our approach to solving this (works perfectly in production with 20,000+ Redmines) is piping the output of the repository cat operation into a tempfile and serving this using send_file. This works great by itself since it uses buffered streaming internally.

Our finding was that the real problem with Redmine's current implementation is loading the content into a single ruby variable, not so much the locking of a ruby process during the time the file is streamed.

Here's a rundown as an example using git:

```
repositories controller.rb:
def entry
# ...
 if 'raw' == params[:format] ||
       (@entry.size && @entry.size > Setting.file_max_size_displayed.to_i.kilobyte) ||
       ! ((@content = @repository.cat(@path, @rev)) && is_entry_text_data?(@content, @path))
# Force the download
    send_opt = { :filename => filename_for_content_disposition(@path.split('/').last) }
    send_type = Redmine::MimeType.of(@path)
   send_opt[:type] = send_type.to_s if send_type
    # if we have the @content already use it - otherwise use a tempfile
    if @content
      send_data @content, send_opt
    else
      send_file @repository.cat(@path, @rev, true), send_opt
   end
 else
    # ...
  end
end
```

repository.rb:

```
def cat(path, identifier=nil, use_tempfile=nil)
  if use_tempfile
  File.join(Dir.mktmpdir, 'repository.tempfile').tap do |tempfile|
    scm.cat(path, identifier, tempfile)
    Thread.new do
        sleep 1 ; File.unlink(tempfile) ; Dir.unlink(File.dirname(tempfile))
        end
    end
    else
    scm.cat(path, identifier, nil)
    end
end
```

git_adapter.rb

```
def cat(path, identifier=nil, tempfile=nil)
# ...
git_cmd(cmd_args, :tempfile => tempfile) do |io|
io.binmode
cat = io.read
end
cat
# ...
end
```

abstract_adapter.rb

```
def self.shellout(cmd, options = {}, &block)
# ...
if options[:tempfile].present?
    # write stdout to tempfile if given
    cmd = "#{cmd} > #{shell_quote(options[:tempfile])}"
end
# ...
end
```

As I said, this works great already. Two apparent downsides:

- We're spawning a thread.
- For deployment, you have to have a /tmp dir that has enough space for large files in your repo.

You can take this a step further even, depending on what you're using as a frontend. With Nginx (Apache should work, too), we were even able to free the Ruby process from streaming entirely. A simple send_opt.merge(:x_sendfile => true) and a little tweak in the nginx config allow us to use X-Accel-Redirect for this and stream the tempfile without ruby having to read a single byte.

I have a patch against 1.4 that patches all repository types (but needs testing with repos other than git & svn) and I would be willing to prep that for trunk, but before we start working on this I wanted to get a contributors opinion, so that we're sure the patch won't end up unused.

Looking forward to your feedback.

#12 - 2012-12-18 00:08 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Writing the file to disk before sending it is indeed the way to go IMO, but:

- I don't get the thread thing: you're trying to delete the file after 1 sec, is that right? But we just can't know when sending will be finished
- Writing to disk small files seems to be less efficient, there should be some kind of threshold on the file size that triggers the use of a temp file
- If we're trying to adress the problem of big files, a better option would be to checkout the file only once and serve it multiple times
- No need to patch with :x_sendfile => true, you just need to set config.action_dispatch.x_sendfile_header appropriately

I started working on this some time ago, I'll see what I have.

#13 - 2012-12-18 00:36 - Jan from Planio www.plan.io

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

Writing the file to disk before sending it is indeed the way to go IMO, but:

• I don't get the thread thing: you're trying to delete the file after 1 sec, is that right? But we just can't know when sending will be finished

Yes, it gets unlinked after 1 sec. Actually, you just need to be sure that streaming has *started* (not finished) before the file is unlinked. If the file has been opened, the process has a handle and can finish streaming it even if it gets unlinked.

• Writing to disk small files seems to be less efficient, there should be some kind of threshold on the file size that triggers the use of a temp file

I'm doing this already. Check this out:

```
if 'raw' == params[:format] ||
  (@entry.size && @entry.size > Setting.file_max_size_displayed.to_i.kilobyte) ||
  ! ((@content = @repository.cat(@path, @rev)) && is_entry_text_data?(@content, @path))
```

If the file is small (i.e. @entry.size > Setting.file_max_size_displayed.to_i.kilobyte evaluates to false), lazy evaluation will execute @content = @repository.cat(@path, @rev). Note, that the third argument (use_tempfile) is not set. Then, later on:

```
# if we have the @content already use it - otherwise use a tempfile
if @content
  send_data @content, send_opt
else
  send_file @repository.cat(@path, @rev, true), send_opt
end
```

If we have @content already, we just use send_data. If @content is nil (because is wasn't set earlier), we use the tempfile thing. Note that here the third argument is set to true.

• If we're trying to adress the problem of big files, a better option would be to checkout the file only once and serve it multiple times

True, but then you would need something like a cronjob to erase files according to some LRU algorithm.

• No need to patch with :x_sendfile => true, you just need to set config.action_dispatch.x_sendfile_header appropriately

You're right, I think for Redmine 2.x/Rails 3.x :x_sendfile => true is not needed anymore...

I started working on this some time ago, I'll see what I have.

If that helps I can supply my raw patch against 1.4... Let me know.

#14 - 2012-12-18 12:54 - Etienne Massip

Would it be useful to stream data?

Something like:

```
class IOStreamer

def initialize(input)
  @in = input
end

def each
  while data = @in.read(4096)
   yield data
  end
  @in.close
  end
end
```

self.response_body = IOStreamer.new(scm_stdout)

#15 - 2014-07-23 12:29 - Anton Gillert

Any plans to solve this issue?

#16 - 2018-07-20 02:40 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Defect #29250: Problem with high RAM usage added

Files			
buffered_io.patch	15.2 KB	2008-11-24	Pierre Paysant-Le Roux
buffered_io.patch	28.3 KB	2008-12-08	Pierre Paysant-Le Roux