

Redmine - Defect #1640

Custom boolean field ignoring default value setting

2008-07-14 18:04 - Guy Kaiser

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2008-07-14
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Custom fields	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:		Affected version:	
Resolution:	Fixed		
Description <p>A custom field of type 'boolean' is displayed as checked/true in the "New Issue" page even though it's default is false/unchecked in the field's properties page. If I look at the custom_fields table in MySQL I can see that the default_value field for the property is 0, so it appears to be saved correctly there.</p> <p>This is a custom field that was added in the "Custom fields" page, it did not come over from a migration of any sort.</p> <p>Redmine is running with via a mongrel cluster setup through Apache2.</p> <p>Software information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• db version: mysqld Ver 5.0.51a-3ubuntu5.1 for debian-linux-gnu on i486 ((Ubuntu))• ruby version: ruby 1.8.6 (2007-09-24 patchlevel 111) [i486-linux]• rails version: Rails 2.1.0• redmine version: Redmine 0.7.devel.1645 (MySQL) <p>I would be more than happy to provide any more information that I can about this issue.</p>			

Associated revisions

Revision 1668 - 2008-07-14 19:29 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Fixes boolean custom fields tags (broken by r1592) (#1640).

History

#1 - 2008-07-14 19:28 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from New to Resolved

- Resolution set to Fixed

This should be fixed in [r1668](#).

Can you confirm ?

#2 - 2008-07-14 19:38 - Guy Kaiser

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

This should be fixed in [r1668](#).

Can you confirm ?

After updating to [r1669](#) I can confirm that this is working correctly and is resolved.

Thank you for the prompt response, and I apologize for not attempting to update to a newer revision before filing this issue. :)

#3 - 2008-07-14 20:39 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

I apologize for not attempting to update to a newer revision before filing this issue. :)

You don't have to apologize, it wasn't fixed before you reported this problem :-)
Thanks for the feedback.