

Redmine - Feature #19171

"any open / none open" filter for (follows / blocked) relationships

2015-02-24 17:07 - Adrian Wilkins

Status: Closed	Start date:
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assignee:	% Done: 0%
Category: Issues	Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version:	
Resolution: Duplicate	
Description	
Currently you can filter issues with related issues.	
is	
any issues in project	
any issues not in project	
no issues in project	
none	
all	
Propose that adding	
any open	
none open	
Would improve the software	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• You could then construct issue queries that only return issues that can actually be progressed<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ This reduces your cognitive load having to think about whether a given task can be done or not• Can also construct queries that return issues that are blocked by something<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ This allows you to troubleshoot their dependencies	
Related issues:	
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #15029: When filter by "blocked by" = "any"...	Closed

History

#1 - 2015-06-09 11:59 - Matteo Bonaker

Is this possibly another duplicate of [#3265](#) or [#15029](#)?

#2 - 2015-06-09 12:26 - Adrian Wilkins

Agree that this is a dupe of [#15029](#), but not [#3265](#)

[#3265](#) was the creation of the relationship-filtering feature, this ticket and [#15029](#) refer to improving that filter so that you can use it to find issues with and without active blockers.

There's also a patch [#16621](#) which seems to implement this ; I couldn't get this to apply against 3.0.3 - I've asked the original author which revision he patched in [#16621#note-2](#)

#3 - 2015-06-10 04:14 - Mischa The Evil

- Is duplicate of Feature #15029: When filter by "blocked by" = "any", take into account if blocking issue is already closed (so no really blocking) added

#4 - 2015-06-10 04:18 - Mischa The Evil

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

Adrian Wilkins wrote:

Agree that this is a dupe of [#15029](#) [...]

So I'm closing this one accordingly.

Adrian Wilkins wrote:

[#3265](#) was the creation of the relationship-filtering feature, this ticket and [#15029](#) refer to improving that filter [...]

Indeed.

Thanks for the feedback. Mischa.