

Redmine - Patch #212

Assign To limited by workflow

2007-03-21 04:53 - Amedeo Amedeo

<b>Status:</b>	New	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Issues workflow	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
First of all, thanks for this great product!			
I had the problem of showing only the list of users involved in the new issue status rather than the whole list, so I have applied this little change. Probably could have be done in a better way :)			
Index: issues_controller.rb			
=====			
--- issues_controller.rb (revision 359)			
+++ issues_controller.rb (working copy)			
@@ -105,7 +105,8 @@			
flash[:notice] = l(:notice_locking_conflict)			
end			
end			
- @assignable_to = @project.members.find(:all, :include => :user).collect{  m  m.user }			
+ # @assignable_to = @project.members.find(:all, :include => :user).collect{  m  m.user }			
+ @assignable_to = Workflow.find(:all, :conditions => ["old_status_id = ?", @new_status]).collect { w  w.role}.collect { r  r.members}.flatten.collect { m  m.user}.uniq			
end			
def destroy			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Redmine - Feature #2937: Filter assignable users based on workflow		New	2009-03-09
Related to Redmine - Feature #8313: Restrict Assignee List by Role		New	2011-05-06

History

#1 - 2007-03-21 05:20 - Alessio Spadaro

Don't know if it is the right way to do it, but sure is the right thing to do IMHO

#2 - 2007-11-11 23:39 - Balazs Dan

Hello Jean-Philippe,

I think something similar would be very very useful!  
Now a user can come into a dead end if he assign the issue to a user who is not involved in the new status. With a similar solution we could avoid it.

I've tested this modification and I had to complete conditions with tracker\_id and I had to use @assignable\_to in change\_status.rhtml. It is working but I'm sure it is not the correct implementation! So I cant submit a patch at the moment.

BR,  
Balazs

#3 - 2007-12-10 09:37 - Marcin Gil

There should also be a permission settings that only a user who's currently assigned to a task (+ some manager) can reassign the task.

So that I, as a simple team member, cannot reassign my colleague's

ticket and reassign it to someone else.

**#4 - 2010-04-27 10:13 - Joseph M**

Is this issue fixed in newer versions? Or could someone please detail the steps to resolve it? I think it is really problematic that the workflow can come to a dead end like this.

Joseph

**#5 - 2010-04-27 23:42 - Thomas Pihl**

This might depend on how you utilize Redmine. We often assign an issue to someone who cannot change state of it. They will comment the issue and assign it back or to someone else. Since anyone can change the issue, it is not stuck.

But then again, i do like even better workflow control and would not mind some control over the assigned to field (both what it contains and who may change it and to what).

**#6 - 2011-03-23 11:19 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- *Category set to Issues workflow*

**#7 - 2012-10-31 20:35 - Daniel Felix**

Hey there,

this seems to be solved?

You can define special rights for a user if he is the ticket owner and if he just is assigned to it.

Please correct me if i'm wrong? Otherwise this could be closed?

**#8 - 2016-06-14 06:43 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- *Description updated*

**#9 - 2016-06-14 06:44 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- *Related to Feature #8313: Restrict Assignee List by Role added*

**#10 - 2017-11-08 14:29 - Dipan Mehta**

Under a given state of the issue - specific people should only be assigned. For example - when issue moves from development to QA and vice-versa.

However, the possible people who can be assigned should be 'role' based and not individual. Because, if workflow has many state, in each state, we need to add list of people who can be assigned. Further, the when people change, new get added, or some exit the project - you need to do the same exercise all over again.

Thus, the assignment restriction should be 'role' based only. As it is this is currently working in Redmine. Also, multiple roles per person is also permissible making it to create a finer role based assignments.

Should this issue be closed by now?

**#11 - 2017-12-08 11:30 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- *Description updated*