Redmine - Feature #22959

Customize "Related issues" list in version detail

2016-06-01 22:10 - Joel SCHAAL

| Status: | New | Start date: | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | | |
| Assignee: | | % Done: | 0% | |
| Category: | Roadmap | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour | |
| Target version: | | | | |
| Resolution: | | | | |
| Description | | | | |
| When we look at | t the details of a version, there is this | s Related issues list: | | |
| | | | | |
| 2.7.0 | | | | |
| Due in 29 day | s (30/06/2016) | | | |
| bue in 25 uuy | 3 (30/00/2010) | 100% | | |
| 24 issues (24 clos | ed — 0 open) | | | |
| Related issues | | | | |
| Burge #200-000. | and the set of the set | | | |
| the part of the second | envire tetrate or process | | | |
| Bage 8000-024a | (ALC) Assessment of Advancements | circuper constrait industing from | | |
| weight - beau | ensees pagent coductor ner- | | | |
| Sheep 0.233.24 | Shi di seve di stande | | | |
| 1000-003101 | receipt a display language for values | | | |
| Thirty - Kinge | 020000 Cente firm since for share | white Labelechinether | | |
| 10-14-0-23-000 | Add a solarance to the vender in the | 107 | | |
| | (NET) ini di contactione consiste | | | |
| | (rentes) rendes should be die to | | | |
| | the shife to add pressure rules with P.D. | | | |
| | (renders) verdes alrealette alle te | | | |
| | Alter a conditional dation in the second second | | | |
| | Also a rander administration associati | | | |
| | (NET) family resides and sender a | dening . | | |
| | (REST) Additionality (Second | | | |
| | Vente Saleir Liti II Ster | | | |
| | werene er vander wittike altoold have | socies ro-administration views | | |
| Dente channel 1997 | | | | |

But this is always formatted the same way (Issue ID, Issue subject).

What about being able to select the fields we want displayed, the same way we do for the issue list:

| | Available Columns | | Selected Columns | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----|-----|------------|
| Columns | Parent task | * | Subject 🔺 | * | | |
| | Author | | Project | | | |
| | Updated | | Tracker | 11 | | |
| | Category | | Status | | | |
| | Start date | → | Priority | | | |
| | Due date | ← | Assignee | Ļ | | |
| | Estimated time | | Target version | 11 | | |
| | Spent time | | % Done | | | |
| | Overall spent time | | Total estimated time | | | |
| | Created | • | | * | | |
| Group results by | Priority | • | | | | |
| Show | Description | | | | | |
| Totals | 🖉 Estimated time 🖉 | Spent time | 1 | | | |
| This could be do | ne either per version | , per proj | ect or per installation. | | | |
| Related issues: | 1 | | | | | |
| Related to Redmin | e - Feature #6501: Be | able to cho | ose the details to show | in | New | 2010-09-27 |

History

#1 - 2016-06-04 14:27 - Haihan Ji

I think you can set filter with version in issue list.

#2 - 2016-06-06 15:27 - Joel SCHAAL

Thanks Haihan Ji for your reply.

That is something we do, but it implies an extra step each time we create a version (setting up a query with the desired result, and put it in the wiki of the version) and more importantly, each time we access a version: in the Roadmap view, you still have the regular list of issues under the version. To see the results like we want, we have to click on that link first.

So I consider this more like a workaround.

#3 - 2016-06-06 17:32 - Dipan Mehta

If we bring up all functionality that Issue query page has - essentially having a page for version is lost! The version page lists various other details (related to project management aspects etc.) which is why that page is not merely a view that comes from issue query page.

However, I do agree that unlike current simple listing - we must have more meaningful grouping of issues. For example, child issues can be readily shown as tree structure. Similarly, the sorting can be based on issues which preceds others etc. Similarly grouping can be done based on priorities or various approval statuses or other such attributes. That would really help.

I think it is pointless to recreate the entire functionality of issue query page. Hence I propose that in the project page we should be able to provide a full fledged query type interface under "settings" which will allow the team to customize how project page would look like and it will be like a hidden stored query. Then all version pages (and roadmap pages) can have the neat customized view without having any filters there.

#4 - 2016-06-16 10:14 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #6501: Be able to choose the details to show in the Roadmap section added

| Files | | | |
|--------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| redmine.png | 29.6 KB | 2016-06-01 | Joel SCHAAL |
| redmine2.png | 16.5 KB | 2016-06-01 | Joel SCHAAL |