

Redmine - Feature #23307

Include auth_source field in User API response

2016-07-12 15:37 - Roger Mårtensson

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Go MAEDA	% Done:	0%
Category:	REST API	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	6.0.0		
Resolution:	Fixed		
Description			
it would've been nice to be able to get which auth_source_id a given user has through the REST API. A nice complement to when creating user where it is possible to set the auth_source_id.			
Related issues:			
Related to Redmine - Feature #36659: Add "auth_source_id" to GET request for ...		Closed	
Related to Redmine - Feature #23306: Support for authentication sources in RE...		New	

Associated revisions

Revision 22636 - 2024-01-25 17:13 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Include auth_source in /users/:id API response if auth_source is requested (include=auth_source) and request is made by an administrator (#23307).

Patch by Go MAEDA (@maeda) and Marius BĂLTEANU (@marius.balteanu).

Revision 22666 - 2024-01-31 04:24 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Include auth_source in /users API response if request includes auth_source (#23307).

Revision 22668 - 2024-02-01 12:45 - Go MAEDA

Fix RuboCop offense Layout/SpaceAroundBlockParameters (#23307).

History

#1 - 2016-08-09 17:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #23306: Support for authentication sources in REST API added

#2 - 2018-02-06 18:01 - Anonymous

- File add_auth_source_id_to_api.patch added

I agree. This feature is also useful for admin scripts which needs to distinguish between "external" and LDAP users.

I attached a patch for this feature. auth_source_id only gets visible for admin users in it, as I do not see the need for standard users.

#3 - 2018-02-08 10:17 - Anonymous

[toshio harita](#) MARUYAMA : Do you have an opinion on this issue?

#4 - 2018-09-27 15:26 - Roger Mårtensson

What is the status of this issue?

#5 - 2018-09-27 15:35 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next major release

#6 - 2019-09-07 02:47 - Go MAEDA

I think the response should include not only id but also name. Maybe the response does not include "auth_source" if the user uses internal authentication.

```
{
  "user": {
    "id": 1,
```

```
    "login": "admin",
    "firstname": "Redmine",
    "lastname": "Admin",
    "created_on": "2006-07-19T17:12:21Z",
    "last_login_on": "2019-09-06T06:37:53Z",
    "auth_source": {
      "id": 1,
      "name": "LDAP test server"
    }
  }
}
```

#7 - 2019-09-08 05:03 - Go MAEDA

- File 0001-Add-auth_source-to-the-users-API-response.patch added

Here is a patch to add auth_source to the response of GET /users/:id.(xml|json). auth_source is included only when the users auth_source is not nil and the current user is an administrator.

```
    "auth_source": {
      "id": 1,
      "name": "LDAP test server"
    },
  },
```

#8 - 2022-02-20 08:25 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #36659: Add "auth_source_id" to GET request for Endpoint /users.:format added

#9 - 2024-01-25 17:23 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Status changed from New to Resolved
- Assignee set to Marius BĂLTEANU
- Target version changed from Candidate for next major release to 6.0.0
- Resolution set to Fixed

Feature added in [r22636](#). You can now get auth_source if your request contains "include=auth_source".

#10 - 2024-01-25 17:24 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to deleted (Feature #23306: Support for authentication sources in REST API)

#11 - 2024-01-25 17:24 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to Feature #23306: Support for authentication sources in REST API added

#12 - 2024-01-25 17:27 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Should we include the auth_source also in index.api response?

#13 - 2024-01-31 04:30 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Subject changed from Get which auth_source_id of a user to Add auth_source_id field to User API
- Assignee changed from Marius BĂLTEANU to Go MAEDA

I've added the field also to /user list API.

Looking again on the implementation, I think we should return "internal" if user doesn't not have an auth_source because right now there is no difference between a request made without include=auth_source and a request with include=auth_source and user(s) with auth_source nil.

[Go MAEDA](#), what do you think?

#14 - 2024-01-31 04:31 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Subject changed from Add auth_source_id field to User API to Add auth_source field to User API

#15 - 2024-01-31 04:32 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Subject changed from Add auth_source field to User API to Include auth_source field in User API response

#16 - 2024-01-31 05:34 - Go MAEDA

Marius BĂLTEANU wrote in [#note-13](#):

Looking again on the implementation, I think we should return "internal" if user doesn't not have an auth_source because right now there is no difference between a request made without include=auth_source and a request with include=auth_source and user(s) with auth_source nil.

You are right, I think it is better to return some value to indicate the internal authentication.

Files

add_auth_source_id_to_api.patch	3.92 KB	2018-02-06	Anonymous
0001-Add-auth_source-to-the-users-API-response.patch	1.8 KB	2019-09-08	Go MAEDA