

Redmine - Feature #23349

Force comment on status change

2016-07-15 15:20 - JW Fuchs

<b>Status:</b>	New	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Issues workflow	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Resolution:</b>			
<b>Description</b> When an issue or feature request has its status changed, especially to status "rejected", comments are very helpful but are often omitted. Could it be implemented, so that a status change requires the comment field to be filled?			
<b>Related issues:</b> Related to Redmine - Feature #12236: Option to make Comment field requiredClosed Related to Redmine - Feature #5553: Force closing messageNew2010-05-19			

History

#1 - 2016-07-18 09:03 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #12236: Option to make Comment field required added

#2 - 2016-07-18 21:39 - Jake Kemme

We use the custom workflow plugin to accomplish this, which lets you add simple scripts that execute before or after save to add custom behavior such as this.

[Plugins Directory » Custom Workflows](#)

#3 - 2016-07-19 10:51 - JW Fuchs

Thanks for the hint. I will take at a look at the plugin.

#4 - 2017-01-16 02:01 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #5553: Force closing message added

#5 - 2023-03-02 09:05 - sosogh sosogh

Jake Kemme wrote:

We use the custom workflow plugin to accomplish this, which lets you add simple scripts that execute before or after save to add custom behavior such as this.

[Plugins Directory » Custom Workflows](#)

If using custom workflow plugin , one can use this code in "before\_save"

```
if @issue.status_id_changed?  
  unless @issue.current_journal.nil? #check if the issue has a journal  
    if @issue.current_journal.notes.empty? #test if notes has content  
      errors.add :base, 'Cannot resolve issue without comment.'  
    end  
  end  
end  
end
```

[https://github.com/anteo/redmine\\_custom\\_workflows/issues/57#issuecomment-233430599](https://github.com/anteo/redmine_custom_workflows/issues/57#issuecomment-233430599)