Redmine - Defect #2418

workflow defect

2008-12-30 22:38 - great dodo

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2008-12-30
Priority:	Urgent	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Issues	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:	Wont fix	Affected version:	
Description		H	
Hello,			
our workflow look	like this:		
assigned -> done			
assigned -> feed			
feedback -> feed			
feedback -> done	;		
none of these thr	ee states are marked as default	or closed.	
When we create	a new issue with status new wh	ch is default, then manager role chang	les it to assigned. And then when some other
			e it from assigned -> assigned which is not
permited. So is th			5 5
rails version is 2.			
rails version is 2. redmine 0.8.0 sta os gentoo linux (\	able		

History

#1 - 2008-12-31 09:39 - Jean-Philippe Lang

it has the possibility to change it from assigned -> assigned

"assigned -> assigned" is not really a change, is it?

#2 - 2008-12-31 13:38 - Thomas Pihl

There is no connection between assigned to and workflow. Some days i wish for one of those (or maybe assign to group), some days i do not.

Currently we're avoiding 'assigned to' in most cases. We use workflow together with RSSOwl. Why? A few reasons:

- We do not spam mailboxes with high volume of status updates (but external reporters and similar can still ger email notify)
- We keep track on issue assignment with (personal or public) saved filters monitored by RSSOwI (with a nice update-windows every 10 minutes in bottom right corner of the screen when we have new issues to manage). A typical filter consist of one or more trackers together with a workflow status and perhaps some categories to show all those issues that I can do something about. All "queue"-states are followed in the workflow with a "in progress"-state to show that someone have grabbed the issues (no longer in the queue).
- We use a similar filter to select issues still open but getting stale (to long time from created to solved). This is a sub-optimal solution, some day we'll need a multiple-timer-solution connected to SLAs instead, but not today.

Weaknesses:

- RSSOwl will only display an issue once (as reported to me, not checked fact). If it shows up again in another filter it gets ignored
- Not easy to see what issues are "owned" by any one team-member (you have to look into the issue to see who changed the issue status from "queue" to "in progress" to see who owns it). Assigned to it a lot stronger in this area. Perhaps some metadata in the status together with automagic settings of assigned to in the future?

Well, this is perhaps something to put on wiki, instead of as a comment in a defect report as why i do not consider this to be a defect. But yet again, just my 0.02 SEK (another banana-republic currency).

/T

#3 - 2009-06-06 05:34 - Felix Dominguez

When you say it has the possibility to change from assigned->assigned it sounds like your saying the issue changes ownership. For example:

- 1. a new issue is created. status=new assigned to=[blank]
- 2. manager role changes status to 'assigned' and changes assigned to to 'bob'
- 3. Tim comes around and takes ownership of the issue and changes assigned to to 'tim'

In the example above, you workflow really did go from assigned to assigned

Is it my understanding that you don't want this behavior? You want the issue to stay assigned to 'bob' and prevent it from being taken by 'tim'?

#4 - 2009-06-09 19:02 - great dodo

Your example is correct, but the point is, that (in our case) only manager can changed the status to assigned, nobody else. And the bug (or feature?) is that other role is able to do so, even if we did not allowed it. I have replicated the bug again and it looks as follows:

when you open the status listbox you get this:

- 0. assigned this was set by manager
- 1. feedback
- 2. resolved

The user can only change to 1 or 2. The problem is, the user is not forced to change the status from 0 to 1 or 2. He is not allowed to choose 0, but he should be forced to do so, when the 0 is something he is not allowed to choose. The zero is simily the actual state of the listbox, and it should be automatically removed when it is not allowed.

thanks

redmine is cooooool

#5 - 2009-06-11 03:50 - Felix Dominguez

- Status changed from New to Resolved

I understand now.

Unfortunately it is not a bug in redmine. It is operating as it was designed. When you disallow a role to select a status, in this case the *assigned* status, what you are essentially saying is, "I am not allowing a role to **CHANGE** the status from another status to *assigned*". So it is like Jean-Phillipe said, it is not really a change when a role that is not allowed to **CHANGE** the status to *assigned* updates a ticket and just saves it. The internal workings of redmine do not see it as a change of status.

If I may suggest, what we have done in-house is create a status named "WIP" which is short of "Work In Progress". We have then asked the techs to update the status to "WIP" when they have started on a task. This allows the manager to see what tasks have been started and what tasks are still queued.

Since this is not really a defect of redmine, I am changing the status to resolved. However, if this is a feature you would like to see in redmine, request it by creating a new issue in the Feature Tracker.

#6 - 2009-09-20 16:11 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Category set to Issues
- Status changed from Resolved to Closed
- Resolution set to Wont fix