## Redmine - Defect #30161

## Incorrect supported Ruby version in doc/INSTALL

2018-12-09 13:51 - Go MAEDA

Status:ClosedStart date:Priority:NormalDue date:

Assignee: Jean-Philippe Lang % Done: 0%

Category: Documentation Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version: 4.0.1

Resolution: Fixed Affected version: 4.0.0

### Description

Redmine 4.0 (Rails 5.2) requires Ruby 2.2.2 or later, but doc/INSTALL states Ruby 2.2.1 or later.

Index: doc/INSTALL

\_\_\_\_\_

--- doc/INSTALL (revision 17702) +++ doc/INSTALL (working copy) @@ -7,7 +7,7 @@

== Requirements

-\* Ruby >= 2.2.1

+\* Ruby >= 2.2.2

\* RubyGems

\* Bundler >= 1.5.0

@@ -29,7 +29,7 @@

2. Create an empty utf8 encoded database: "redmine" for example

3. Configure the database parameters in config/database.yml

for the "production" environment (default database is MySQL and ruby1.9)

for the "production" environment (default database is MySQL)

4. Install the required gems by running:

bundle install --without development test

#### Related issues:

Related to Redmine - Feature #25538: Drop support for Ruby 2.2.1 and ealier, ...

Closed

#### **Associated revisions**

# Revision 17815 - 2019-01-19 11:49 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Incorrect supported Ruby version in doc/INSTALL (#30161).

Patch by Go MAEDA.

### Revision 17816 - 2019-01-19 11:50 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Merged r17815 to 4.0-stable (#30161).

#### History

### #1 - 2018-12-09 13:53 - Go MAEDA

- Affected version set to 4.0.0

#### #2 - 2018-12-09 13:58 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #25538: Drop support for Ruby 2.2.1 and ealier, 2.2.2+ is now required added

## #3 - 2018-12-09 16:50 - Jean-Philippe Lang

ruby 2.2 is no longer maintained: <a href="https://www.ruby-lang.org/en/news/2018/06/20/support-of-ruby-2-2-has-ended/">https://www.ruby-lang.org/en/news/2018/06/20/support-of-ruby-2-2-has-ended/</a> Shouldn't we require ruby 2.3?

2025-08-23 1/2

## #4 - 2018-12-09 16:58 - Go MAEDA

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

ruby 2.2 is no longer maintained: <a href="https://www.ruby-lang.org/en/news/2018/06/20/support-of-ruby-2-2-has-ended/">https://www.ruby-lang.org/en/news/2018/06/20/support-of-ruby-2-2-has-ended/</a> Shouldn't we require ruby 2.3?

I am in favor of dropping support for Ruby 2.2. It is not very important to support 4 years old Ruby, I think. And it should be a good news for plugin developers.

## #5 - 2018-12-09 17:48 - Go MAEDA

- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang

### #6 - 2019-01-19 11:51 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Resolution set to Fixed

Patch committed. We can't drop support for a ruby version in a minor version, so we keep 2.2 for now.

2025-08-23 2/2