

## Redmine - Defect #34297

### Subprojects issues are not displayed on main project when all subprojects are closed

2020-11-23 01:34 - huijun Kim

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Go MAEDA	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Issues list	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	4.0.8	<b>Affected version:</b>	4.1.1
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Description</b>			
No issues are displayed on issue page			
parent project (open)			
- subproject1 (closed)			
- subproject2 (closed)			
It shows sub1, sub2 issues.			
parent project (open)			
- subproject1 (closed)			
- subproject2 (open)			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Redmine - Defect # 34375: "is not" operator for Subproject filter ...		<b>Closed</b>	

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 20693 - 2020-12-27 07:46 - Go MAEDA

Subprojects issues are not displayed on main project when all subprojects are closed (#34297).

Patch by Yuichi HARADA and Go MAEDA.

##### Revision 20694 - 2020-12-28 01:52 - Go MAEDA

Make sure that "is not" operator for Subproject filter does not exclude closed subprojects (#34375).

The issue has been fixed in r20693 along with #34297.

##### Revision 20695 - 2020-12-29 07:57 - Go MAEDA

Merged r20693 from trunk to 4.1-stable (#34297).

##### Revision 20696 - 2020-12-29 08:07 - Go MAEDA

Merged r20693 from trunk to 4.0-stable (#34297).

## History

---

### #1 - 2020-11-23 05:42 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from New to Confirmed

I have confirmed the reported behavior. If there are one or more open subprojects, issues in closed subprojects are visible in a parent project's issues list. However, if all subprojects are closed, all issues from subprojects are invisible.

### #2 - 2020-12-02 08:19 - Go MAEDA

- Subject changed from *The issue is not visible when closing all subprojects.* to *Subprojects issues are not displayed on main project when all subprojects are closed*

### #3 - 2020-12-03 07:12 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Defect #34375: "is not" operator for Subproject filter incorrectly excludes closed subprojects added

### #4 - 2020-12-03 09:20 - Yuichi HARADA

- File *fixed-34297.patch* added

Go MAEDA wrote:

*I have confirmed the reported behavior. If there are one or more open subprojects, issues in closed subprojects are visible in a parent project's issues list. However, if all subprojects are closed, all issues from subprojects are invisible.*

I created a patch. I fix to show subprojects issues even if all subprojects are closed.

### #5 - 2020-12-06 05:20 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next major release

### #6 - 2020-12-20 09:38 - Go MAEDA

Yuichi HARADA wrote:

*I created a patch. I fix to show subprojects issues even if all subprojects are closed.*

Thank you for posting the patch.

What do you think about the following approach? The number of lines to be changed is minimal, and also fixes #34375.

```
diff --git a/app/models/query.rb b/app/models/query.rb
index 18f1b135b..8ed09579d 100644
--- a/app/models/query.rb
+++ b/app/models/query.rb
@@ -898,10 +898,10 @@ class Query < ActiveRecord::Base

   def project_statement
     project_clauses = []
-    active_subprojects_ids = []
+    non_archived_subprojects_ids = []
```

```

- active_subprojects_ids = project.descendants.active.map(&:id) if project
- if active_subprojects_ids.any?
+ non_archived_subprojects_ids = project.descendants.where.not(status: Project::STATUS_ARCHIVED).ids if project
+ if non_archived_subprojects_ids.any?
  if has_filter?("subproject_id")
    case operator_for("subproject_id")
      when '='
@@ -910,7 +910,7 @@ class Query < ActiveRecord::Base
  project_clauses << "#{Project.table_name}.id IN (%s)" % ids.join(',')
  when '!'
  # exclude the selected subprojects
- ids = [project.id] + active_subprojects_ids - values_for("subproject_id").map(&:to_i)
+ ids = [project.id] + non_archived_subprojects_ids - values_for("subproject_id").map(&:to_i)
  project_clauses << "#{Project.table_name}.id IN (%s)" % ids.join(',')
  when '!*'
  # main project only

```

#### #7 - 2020-12-23 06:35 - Yuichi HARADA

Go MAEDA wrote:

```

| What do you think about the following approach? The number of lines to be changed is minimal, and also fixes #34375.
|
| [...]

```

That's great. I have confirmed that #34375 is also improved with minimal changes.

#### #8 - 2020-12-23 12:31 - Go MAEDA

- File 34297-v2.patch added

Yuichi HARADA wrote:

```

| Go MAEDA wrote:
|
| What do you think about the following approach? The number of lines to be changed is minimal, and also fixes #34375.
|
| [...]
|
| That's great. I have confirmed that #34375 is also improved with minimal changes.

```

Thank you for checking the code. I have updated the patch:

- Combined the code in #34297#note-6 and tests in attachment:fixed-34297.patch
- Simplified tests

**#9 - 2020-12-25 06:15 - Go MAEDA**

- Target version changed from Candidate for next major release to 4.0.8

Setting the target version to 4.0.8.

**#10 - 2020-12-27 07:47 - Go MAEDA**

- Status changed from Confirmed to Resolved

- Assignee set to Go MAEDA

Committed the patch.

**#11 - 2020-12-29 08:07 - Go MAEDA**

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- Resolution set to Fixed

**Files**

---

fixed-34297.patch	5.24 KB	2020-12-03	Yuichi HARADA
34297-v2.patch	3.15 KB	2020-12-23	Go MAEDA