### Redmine - Feature #34766

## Better error message when no API format is recognised

2021-02-18 21:29 - Felix Schäfer

Status:ClosedStart date:Priority:NormalDue date:

Assignee: Marius BĂLTEANU % Done: 0%

Category: REST API Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version: 5.0.0

Resolution:

## Description

At Planio sometimes see users trying to make API requests using the HTTP Accept: application/json header but forgetting to add .json at the end of the path. This currently leads to a raise with a 500 Internal Server Error.

We propose the following patch to correctly return a 406 Not Acceptable (this is the same HTTP status Rails uses when the passed Accept HTTP header can not be served), log the error instead of raise-ing, and returning a helpful message in the HTTP response.

### Related issues:

Has duplicate Redmine - Feature #26709: Use correct http status codes

Closed

#### **Associated revisions**

### Revision 21392 - 2022-01-30 14:39 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Return 406 status code instead of 500 when API request has an invalid format (#34766).

Patch by Felix Schäfer.

## Revision 21393 - 2022-01-30 14:39 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Add test for #34766

Patch by Mizuki ISHIKAWA.

### History

## #1 - 2021-02-18 22:31 - Pavel Rosický

well, I had the same proposal 3 years ago https://redmine.org/issues/26709

I don't see a reason why not to provide a JSON response if "Accept: application/json" header is present. I agree, that the application shouldn't raise 500, 406 is definitely more appropriate.

### #2 - 2021-02-19 08:29 - Felix Schäfer

Pavel Rosický wrote:

well, I had the same proposal 3 years ago https://redmine.org/issues/26709

2025-05-17 1/3

Thank you for pointing this out, I was not aware this had already been worked on, I am sorry for overlooking it.

I don't see a reason why not to provide a JSON response if "Accept: application/json" header is present.

Providing a JSON or XML response when the Accept header is set would also be coherent with the way Rails handles requests I think. This would be my preferred solution, but I am unsure whether not accepting the Accept header in Redmine::Builders was a conscious decision or not.

I agree, that the application shouldn't raise 500, 406 is definitely more appropriate.

This would indeed be the smallest possible change that would avoid raising an error in the logs/in the application and improving the HTTP response for users.

#### #3 - 2021-02-21 06:02 - Go MAEDA

- Category set to REST API

#### #4 - 2021-03-01 08:13 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next major release

### #5 - 2022-01-04 02:51 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #26709: Use correct http status codes added

#### #6 - 2022-01-04 03:42 - Mizuki ISHIKAWA

- File add\_test.patch added

I attach a patch to add the test.

#### #7 - 2022-01-05 02:22 - Go MAEDA

- Target version changed from Candidate for next major release to 5.0.0

Setting the target version to 5.0.0.

### #8 - 2022-01-30 11:57 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Instead of adding this error message, is not better to support API requests also with accept header?

#### #9 - 2022-01-30 13:50 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Marius BALTEANU wrote:

Instead of adding this error message, is not better to support API requests also with accept header?

Digging more in this and #26709, I think this change is useful regardless the accept header.

### #10 - 2022-01-30 14:36 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to deleted (Feature #26709: Use correct http status codes)

### #11 - 2022-01-30 14:36 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Has duplicate Feature #26709: Use correct http status codes added

### #12 - 2022-01-30 14:41 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Assignee set to Marius BĂLTEANU

Both patches committed, thanks!

I've created #36544 to allow the "Accept" header as well.

## #13 - 2022-03-27 05:01 - Go MAEDA

- Tracker changed from Patch to Feature

# Files

add\_test.patch 987 Bytes 2022-01-04 Mizuki ISHIKAWA

2025-05-17 3/3