## Redmine - Feature #5497

# Assigning issues to projects

Status:	New	Start date:	2010-05-11
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Roadmap	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:			
Description		·	
	e Redmine in January, have 15 p t Redmine is great or even mo		ound 70 by the end of the summer. Our
		t to assign issues to another project. , we need a clear interface for project	n the end, if most of the activity of our s to interact.
moment we do th			to be "served" by other projects. At the s prevents the client project from tracking the
	s of this situation. When project A let's say project B:	is building a piece of software that ru	ns on top of another software that is
<ul> <li>It is common</li> </ul>			ogress of project A. this affect the progress of project A. Because
If it were possible	e to assign issues from client proj	ect A to server project B:	
<ul> <li>It wouldn't b Gantt chart.</li> </ul>	e necessary that project A create	ed an "image" issue to reflect the prog	ress of these issues in the roadmap and
assigned to	project B		' progress to sync with the progress of issues
• Server proje	ects could filter their incoming iss	des by client project	
imagine that this	s feature affects the database mo	del significantly but I also belive that	the resulting model is much more powerful:
	<b>2</b> 1	signed to a person in the context of a ated by a person from project A and a	
• •	admap and the Gantt chart, in thi by them (either assigned to then		t issues assigned to them (as it is now) but
			ver the situation I'm painting here. Because i

is only for issues that are in project A, but A realises these are not its responsability any more and so, should be moved somewhere else, and shouldn't appear, from that moment on, neither in the roadmap nor in the Gantt of project A.

Sorry for the long text. And thanks for the great product you all are creating.

### History

#### #1 - 2010-05-11 10:59 - Felix Schäfer

Wouldn't the related issues (blocks and follows relationships) allow that?

#### #2 - 2010-05-11 12:18 - Pedro Gutierrez

Felix Schäfer wrote:

Wouldn't the related issues (blocks and follows relationships) allow that?

In fact, we find the *duplicates* relationship more helpful because it allows reflecting the state of a request.

But, regardless of the relationship used, we are always obliged to create two different issues to emulate this. One for the requested issue itself, another for the image of the request that remains in the client project.

However, I believe a single instance of the issue should be enough. The only challenge being how to reflect two views of this single instance in two projects (the client and the server)