

## Redmine - Feature #6236

### Wikified custom fields

2010-08-27 13:35 - Robert Schneider

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	2010-08-27
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Custom fields	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Resolution:</b>	Duplicate		
<b>Description</b> I have just notice when I put a #1234 into a text field the result is not a link to the issue. Could you make it possible? Other links could work as well, of course.			
<b>Related issues:</b> Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #1179: Optionally allow Text and Long Text ... <span style="float: right;"><b>Closed</b>      <b>2008-05-05</b></span>			

### History

#### #1 - 2010-08-27 13:57 - Felix Schäfer

Which text fields? All wikified textfields will show #1234 as [#1234](#), i.e. as a link to issue 1234 if it exists.

#### #2 - 2010-08-27 15:10 - Robert Schneider

Custom fields. I didn't talk of custom field. But as I see now I think other fields (like category) wouldn't make sense.

Wikified textfields... okay, maybe custom fields that contain text could be wikified?

#### #3 - 2010-08-27 15:15 - Felix Schäfer

- Subject changed from Allow issue references in fields to Wikified custom fields
- Category set to Custom fields

Ok, so you'd like wikified custom fields :-) I changed the title accordingly.

#### #4 - 2010-08-27 16:06 - Robert Schneider

Yes, thank you.

However, I suspected this wouldn't be possible. So I requested only for 'simple' references. But is it possible to implement this easily?

BTW (before I open another issue): Is there also a chance to change the order of fields/custom-fields? This would be also nice...

#### #5 - 2010-09-25 21:28 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

I think it may be a duplicate of [#5794](#). Do you confirm ?

#### #6 - 2010-09-27 08:36 - Robert Schneider

Yes, probably. I did not try the patch but I think it means the same (if *wikified* and *textilized* means the same and is not restricted to URLs only).

#### #7 - 2010-12-30 18:26 - Chad Heuschober

I think this issue is a duplicate of [#1179](#) as-well. Seems the issue has a modicum of interest. It certainly has my +1.

#### #8 - 2010-12-30 18:28 - Chad Heuschober

Ahh. Just found another duplicate: [#7067](#)

#### #9 - 2010-12-30 18:30 - Chad Heuschober

And one more duplicate for good faith: [#5250](#). This one uses the URL patch as a workaround.

#### #10 - 2011-04-19 11:39 - Etienne Massip

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

Closed as duplicate of [#1179](#).