

Redmine - Defect #6521

Tooltip/label for user "search-refinement" field on group/project member list

2010-09-28 15:13 - Frank Helk

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2010-09-28
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Jean-Baptiste Barth	% Done:	100%
Category:	UI	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	1.0.3	Affected version:	1.0.2
Resolution:	Fixed		
Description When editing a user group, in the "Users" tab, the list of users doesn't contain all available users. There are users missing that are both <ul style="list-style-type: none">• not already in the group, and• not blocked anyhow. The users can be added i.e. from the "Edit user" form, Tab "Groups", without problems.			
Related issues: Has duplicate Redmine - Defect #6869: Cannot find/select some members in the ... Closed 2010-11-11			

Associated revisions

Revision 4312 - 2010-10-30 18:47 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

Add a label for user and group search fields. #6521

Contributed by Felix Schäfer

History

#1 - 2010-09-28 15:49 - Felix Schäfer

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Resolution set to Invalid

You probably have more than 100 users. The user list only shows 100 users, you can refine the list with the text field above it.

#2 - 2010-10-01 13:26 - Frank Helk

I've read in another ticket that the limit of 100 is kind of sacred (well reasoned, anyhow) - maybe there could be a hint included that some entries are missing in case there are hidden users ?

Not much - a line

(...)

with a tooltip like "more entries available ... use filter field" or such would do perfectly well.

#3 - 2010-10-01 14:59 - Felix Schäfer

- Subject changed from User Group Edit -> Users -> User list not complete to Tooltip/label for user "search-refinement" field on group/project member list
- Category changed from Administration to UI
- Status changed from Closed to Reopened
- Assignee set to Felix Schäfer
- Resolution deleted (Invalid)

Yes, I've thought about that too for some time, but never really got to doing anything meaningful about it. Changing the title so that it reflects the request better, I'll have a look maybe this WE if I can come up with something :-)

#4 - 2010-10-02 16:18 - Felix Schäfer

- Status changed from Reopened to 7
- Assignee changed from Felix Schäfer to Jean-Baptiste Barth
- Target version set to 1.0.3

Ok, I just added a label to the field, but that should be enough of a hint to understand what the field is there for.

See <https://github.com/thecat/redmine/commit/76729f10183bd3db3809bc916f28644f94028604>

JB: could you commit this please? Thanks.

#5 - 2010-10-04 10:25 - Frank Helk

That would help anyway, but is not exactly what I've been thinking of.

That 100 user limit is not obvious, neither is it mentioned anywhere - and there's no hint that users are missing from the list. That might confuse ...

I would suggest to add a hint stating that there are more users, if the 100+ cut-off is in effect. BTW: I think that users and groups should be clearly marked (icons in front ? list headings ?) and the two parts of the list should be separated by at least a little bit of space.

#6 - 2010-10-07 07:00 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

Felix: maybe this patch answers to another problem (the fact that "groups" appear in a "search for user" box).

I agree with Frank, groups should be marked or displayed differently. Or maybe splitted into a different box ?

I'll apply this patch if we decide not to address these other questions for the moment. Let me know what you think.

#7 - 2010-10-28 21:31 - Felix Schäfer

Jean-Baptiste Barth wrote:

I'll apply this patch if we decide not to address these other questions for the moment. Let me know what you think.

I'd say apply the patch as a "quick fix", and open a new issue for the user/group list, it does feel a little awkward at times, but I think it needs a bit more work than just separating users and groups and things like that (think 2 tabs, one for users and one for groups, and maybe other things).

#8 - 2010-10-29 05:26 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

OK. Do we keep it for 1.0.3 ? Not sure we should, it will introduce dozens of incorrect translations in a stable release.. Any idea (maybe from the release team) ?

Side-question for Felix: how did you update the translations ? Automatic cherry-picking failed, so I tried to apply a truncated patch by hand then run rake locales:update, but new keys are added at the end of the locale files, whereas you managed to add them at the correct place.

#9 - 2010-10-29 05:30 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

Jean-Baptiste Barth wrote:

Side-question for Felix: [...]

Argl, forget it. Yours are also at the end of the files, it just seems a bit more messy now that we have other translations added. I should go back to bed, will see it tomorrow.

#10 - 2010-10-30 18:42 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

- Status changed from 7 to Resolved
- Target version changed from 1.0.3 to Unplanned backlogs
- % Done changed from 0 to 100
- Affected version (unused) changed from 1.0.1 to 1.0.2
- Resolution set to Fixed
- Affected version changed from 1.0.1 to 1.0.2

Applied in [r4312](#). But as I said before, I don't think it's ready for 1.0.3 regarding locales updates.

#11 - 2010-10-31 18:49 - Eric Davis

- *Target version changed from Unplanned backlogs to 1.0.3*

Jean-Baptiste Barth wrote:

Applied in [r4312](#). But as I said before, I don't think it's ready for 1.0.3 regarding locales updates.

I think this is fine for 1.0.3. Getting all the locales updated always takes a bit of time. As long as the English versions are in, it's easier for translators to find what's missing for the next release (i.e. translate missing strings in 1.0.3 for 1.0.4).

I need to make sure this merges into 1.0-stable but I'm tagging it 1.0.3 for now.

#12 - 2010-11-01 01:22 - Eric Davis

- *Status changed from Resolved to Closed*

Merged into 1.0-stable for release in 1.0.3