Redmine - Defect #6564

Remove IssuePriorityCustomFields?

2010-10-04 09:10 - Felix Schäfer

Status:	Closed	**Start date:**	2010-10-04
Priority:	Normal	**Due date:**	
Assignee:		**% Done:**	0%
Category:	UI	**Estimated time:**	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:	Wont fix	**Affected version:**	

Description			
As far as I can see, the IssuePriorityCustomFields aren't used for anything (yet or anymore, I don't know), would anyone mind at least taking them out of the UI?			

Related issues:			
Related to Redmine - Feature #4077: Custom fields and overrides on Enumerations		**Closed**	**2009-10-21**

History

#1 - 2010-10-04 23:27 - Terence Mill

Sorry, Felix.. i forgot to add a report as promised.

#2 - 2010-10-08 01:54 - Eric Davis

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Wont fix

I want to leave them. Even if there isn't any code in the Redmine core using them, other plugins or themes can use them.

I have one idea that might take advantage of them (e.g. css color custom field, instead of hard coding the css to use an issue priority's position)

#3 - 2010-10-08 09:37 - Felix Schäfer

Noted, though there have been some queries in the last few days about them, maybe a short FAQ entry or something?

#4 - 2010-10-12 05:34 - Mischa The Evil

Felix Schäfer wrote:

> Noted, though there have been some queries in the last few days about them, maybe a short FAQ entry or something?

I've extended RedmineCustomFields and included this info. Please extend that wiki-page if the current documentation about the custom fields isn't sufficient/complete/correct.

#5 - 2010-10-12 09:28 - Felix Schäfer

Mischa The Evil wrote:

> I've extended RedmineCustomFields and included this info. Please extend that wiki-page if the current documentation about the custom fields isn't sufficient/complete/correct.

I've removed the mention of the "Required" field, as it doesn't do anything. That's enough for me for the moment, I consider the matter closed, we can bring this up again as need arises.

#6 - 2010-10-14 04:49 - Mischa The Evil

Felix Schäfer wrote:

> Mischa The Evil wrote:

>> I've extended RedmineCustomFields and included this info. Please extend that wiki-page if the current documentation about the custom fields isn't sufficient/complete/correct.

I've removed the mention of the "Required" field, as it doesn't do anything. [...]

I did the same for GroupCustomFields & DocumentCategoryCustomFields since their status is the same as IssuePriorityCustomFields.

Matter closed... ;)