

Redmine - Feature #7557

Deny editing of descriptions of closed issues

2011-02-05 22:28 - Be Fio

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	2011-02-05
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Issues permissions	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Resolution:</b>	Duplicate		
<b>Description</b>			
When a project is marked as "closed" I think it'd be a reasonable default to deny editing the description.			
As there's no history for the description this would allow opening editing to restriced roles until the task is finished - while denying it afterwards.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Redmine - Patch #7444: Patch for improved issue edit permissions		<b>Closed</b>	<b>2011-01-25</b>
Related to Redmine - Patch #2685: Display notice when commenting on closed ti...		<b>New</b>	<b>2009-02-06</b>
Related to Redmine - Feature #13814: No more comments when ticket closed		<b>New</b>	
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #3521: Permissions for roles to change fiel...		<b>Closed</b>	<b>2009-06-22</b>

History

#1 - 2011-02-06 23:08 - Etienne Massip

- Tracker changed from Defect to Feature

#2 - 2011-02-08 19:34 - Brian Lindahl

Similar feature implemented in patch [#7444](#): subjects/descriptions are denied editing once the issue has been assigned (once the workflow has begun)

Note that this patch also implements other issue permissions.

#3 - 2011-02-09 14:37 - Be Fio

Interesting. Our typical workflow is to *assign* the issue beforehand and *start* ("In Progress") it later.

Thus [#7444](#) "edit the issue until the issue has been assigned." won't be applicable.

The main use case would be:  
*Deny lower priviledged users to change history.*

The desriptions of maybe long past issues would be *preserved in the state they were* when "Closed".

#4 - 2011-02-09 18:34 - Brian Lindahl

How can an issue be 'In Progress' without someone working on it (assigned to someone)? I can't see how that makes sense.

#5 - 2011-02-10 20:54 - Be Fio

Brian Lindahl wrote:

I can't see how that makes sense.

It doesn't ;)

As stated we first assign the issue and set it to "In Progress" later.

#6 - 2011-02-10 23:13 - Brian Lindahl

Ahh, I misread. Probably due to the confusion in that once an issue is assigned, we consider the workflow to be started (at least **someone** besides the author has taken note of the issue). Rather than your team, where it appears that you consider the workflow to be started once someone is actively working on it (In Progress).

**#7 - 2012-07-15 18:34 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

- *Status changed from New to Closed*
- *Resolution set to Duplicate*

Implemented as part of [#3521](#) for 2.1.0. You can now make the description read-only for a given status.