Redmine - Feature #7849

custom issue relation types

2011-03-13 10:37 - Markus Valle-Klann

Status:	New	Start date:	2011-03-13	3
Priority:	Normal	Due date:		
Assignee:		% Done:	0%	
Category:	Issues	Estimated time:	0.00 hour	
Target version:				
Resolution:				
Description				
	ts I am using redmine with a number of elations than the ones currently availabl		ations between t	he tickets I would very muc
For instance, we feature implemen	have trackers for requirements and feat ts requirement.	ures. And we would like to be a	able to define an	"implements" relation:
			like evetere fiel	
	able solution would be to be able to defi but didn't find anything.	ine custom relation types much	I like custom liei	as. I searched for this on th
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u>		b it seems fairly straightforwar		
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in	but didn't find anything. ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo	d to factor out th ople would be int	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co	but didn't find anything. ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo	d to factor out th ople would be int	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues:	but didn't find anything. ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex	d to factor out th ople would be int	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some ntribute to the development.	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. <u>ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r</u> fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some intribute to the development.	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation other tickets	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi New	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. <u>ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r</u> fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some ntribute to the development. - Feature #13690: Allow plugins to be able to - Feature #15340: Custom field referencing	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation other tickets d issue: "exclus	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi New New	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. <u>ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r</u> fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some intribute to the development. - Feature #13690: Allow plugins to be able for - Feature #15340: Custom field referencing - Feature #16117: Add a new type of related	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation other tickets d issue: "exclus nts	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi New New New	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. <u>ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r</u> fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some intribute to the development. - Feature #13690: Allow plugins to be able to - Feature #15340: Custom field referencing - Feature #16117: Add a new type of related - Feature #18034: related issue improveme	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation other tickets d issue: "exclus nts	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi New New New Closed	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. <u>ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r</u> fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some ntribute to the development. - Feature #13690: Allow plugins to be able to - Feature #15340: Custom field referencing - Feature #16117: Add a new type of related - Feature #18034: related issue improveme - Feature #25384: Add new issue relation ty	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation other tickets d issue: "exclus nts ype: Obsoletes a	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi New New Closed New	e relation types and define terested to have that feature
redmine redmine From looking at <u>s</u> them like custom As we don't have and somebody in also be able to co Related issues: Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine Related to Redmine	but didn't find anything. <u>ource:trunk/app/models/issue_relation.r</u> fields, including symmetry relationships any redmine development capabilities a terested in implementing it :-) With some intribute to the development. - Feature #13690: Allow plugins to be able to - Feature #15340: Custom field referencing - Feature #16117: Add a new type of related - Feature #18034: related issue improveme - Feature #25384: Add new issue relation ty - Feature #26786: Complex issue relation	b it seems fairly straightforward between the types etc. at the moment I hope more peo e guidance and advise from ex to add relation other tickets d issue: "exclus nts ype: Obsoletes a d by" issue relati	d to factor out th ople would be int perienced redmi New New New Closed New New	e relation types and define terested to have that featur ine developers my team mi

History

#1 - 2012-03-07 15:12 - Johan Larsson

+1

Would also like to add custom issue relation types.

#2 - 2012-03-07 21:28 - Laurent Dairaine

+1

#3 - 2012-08-16 10:01 - Kelvin Chen

+1

Would like to have this function as well :)

#4 - 2012-08-31 17:15 - Matt Andrews

+1

```
#5 - 2012-09-16 16:14 - Adrián A.
```

+1

#6 - 2012-09-19 20:18 - Mauro Chojrin

+1. In my case, we use support tickets as QA tasks. It would be really helpfull to define relations such as "Tested in" (with simmetry in "Is tested by"). This feature combined with a custom workflow would definitely be a great improvement of my daily workflow.

#7 - 2012-10-18 16:57 - Fred Giusto

+1 That's a very good idea

#8 - 2012-12-01 13:03 - Bo Hansen

+1

#9 - 2013-01-25 14:05 - Tomas K

+1

#10 - 2013-04-10 07:15 - Dipan Mehta

+1. This one would be a great addition to many workflows.

#11 - 2013-05-31 13:10 - Lauren Copeland

+1 This feature would be useful.

#12 - 2013-07-01 20:43 - Brandon Liles

+1 Definitely agree. In our organization we assign review tickets for another developer to review our work. We currently use relations to track the review ticket in relation to the work ticket, but it would be nice to have a relationship type for this.

#13 - 2013-07-01 20:59 - Jeremy Thomerson

+1 I just found this as well and it would be great to be able to add symmetrical relation types either by plugins, or especially through the admin UI.

#14 - 2014-01-30 08:49 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #15340: Custom field referencing other tickets added

#15 - 2014-02-27 18:15 - Mikhail Grinfeld

+1

#16 - 2014-10-06 12:45 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Description updated

#17 - 2014-10-06 12:46 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #16117: Add a new type of related issue: "exclusive" added

#18 - 2014-10-06 12:47 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #18034: related issue improvements added

#19 - 2017-04-19 13:26 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #25384: Add new issue relation type: Obsoletes and Obsoleted_by added

#20 - 2017-09-28 06:08 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #26786: Complex issue relation added

#21 - 2018-03-31 07:10 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Feature #7629: Add "causes" and "caused by" issue relationships added

#22 - 2019-02-14 18:35 - Vito Marolda

+1 For this feature: our workflow would be "feature x implement request y". *Anyway*, a new type of custom field which accepts issues (#15340), with single or multiple choices, would also suit this need, maybe with better querying capabilities.

#23 - 2019-12-21 12:59 - Yasu Saku

+1

#24 - 2020-02-14 12:44 - Gunasekar R

+1

#25 - 2020-03-19 19:06 - shawn freeman

+1

It looks like many of the "relationship types" have specific meanings and probably corresponding code level behaviors. I can guess that this is why this isn't already something we can configure for ourselves, like states.

I would like to suggest that the scope of this RFE be focused only on the "Related To" relationship. Specifically:

1. Allow the admin to define any number of aliases for "Related To".

- 2. Present the list of "Related To" aliases in the drop-down as if they were each unique.
- 3. Leave the underlying code behavior as-is.

#26 - 2020-10-02 10:36 - Stefan Lindner

+1

#27 - 2020-10-23 08:22 - Fabien Durand

+1

```
#28 - 2021-07-23 16:40 - shawn freeman
```

Related to #4799?

```
#29 - 2021-08-06 08:03 - Denis Lebedev
```

+1

```
#30 - 2021-10-28 19:57 - Roberto Tavares
```

+1

```
#31 - 2022-07-09 14:04 - Daniel N
```

+1

#32 - 2022-11-03 16:48 - Giulio Quaresima

+1