

Redmine - Defect #9633

Some typos on the front page.

2011-11-22 18:44 - Shlomi Fish

Status:	New	Start date:	2011-11-22
Priority:	Low	Due date:	
Assignee:	Mischa The Evil	% Done:	0%
Category:	Website (redmine.org)	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:		Affected version:	
Resolution:			
Description			
<code>"Written using Ruby on Rails framework," ==> "Written using the Ruby on Rails framework"</code>			
<code>"Below are some of the main features of Redmine." ==> "Here are some of the main features of Redmine:"</code>			
<code>"Read more about Redmine features." ==> "Read more about Redmine's features."</code>			

History

#1 - 2011-11-23 02:04 - Mischa The Evil

- Assignee changed from Jean-Philippe Lang to Mischa The Evil

Shlomi Fish wrote:

`"Written using Ruby on Rails framework," > "Written using the Ruby on Rails framework"`

`"Below are some of the main features of Redmine." > "Here are some of the main features of Redmine:"`

I've changed both slightly different in version 56 of [Overview](#).

`"Read more about Redmine features." ==> "Read more about Redmine's features."`

I've left it as is was for now. I actually don't think that's incorrect. Although I might be wrong here since English is a second language of mine. Are you a native English speaker? Can anyone chime in here?

#2 - 2011-11-23 09:49 - Shlomi Fish

Mischa The Evil wrote:

Shlomi Fish wrote:

`"Written using Ruby on Rails framework," > "Written using the Ruby on Rails framework"`

`"Below are some of the main features of Redmine." > "Here are some of the main features of Redmine:"`

I've changed both slightly different in version 56 of [Overview](#).

Thanks. BTW, it should be s/slightly different/slightly differently/.

`"Read more about Redmine features." ==> "Read more about Redmine's features."`

I've left it as is was for now. I actually don't think that's incorrect. Although I might be wrong here since English is a second language of mine. Are you a native English speaker? Can anyone chime in here?

I'm not a native English speaker, but I still believe that is incorrect. I'll try to solicit some advice from ##English on Freenode.

#3 - 2011-11-23 21:12 - Mischa The Evil

Shlomi Fish wrote:

BTW, it should be s/slightly different/slightly differently/.

Thanks! Never actually thought about that difference... :-S

I'll try to solicit some advice from ##English on Freenode.

Please do so. I'm supporting linguistical improvements in Redmine wiki (at least for the "supported" pages).

#4 - 2011-12-23 13:17 - Shlomi Fish

Mischa The Evil wrote:

Shlomi Fish wrote:

BTW, it should be s/slightly different/slightly differently/.

Thanks! Never actually thought about that difference... :-S

I'll try to solicit some advice from ##English on Freenode.

Please do so. I'm supporting linguistical improvements in Redmine wiki (at least for the "supported" pages).

OK, here is what the ##English people say:

```
<rindolf> Hi all. http://www.redmine.org/issues/9633 - is "Read more about Redmine features."
correct? I think it should be "Read more about Redmine's features.". Can you please comment?
<-- exlt_82 has quit (Ping timeout: 252 seconds)
<Elench> I certainly prefer the latter
<enchilado> I think the former would put more emphasis on the "features" part
<enchilado> and the latter on the Redmine part
<-- mi6x3m has quit (Read error: Connection reset by peer)
* Maxdamantus thinks otherwise.
<enchilado> but I'm not sure why I think that
--> mi6x3m|2 (~mi6x3m@95-42-13-48.btc-net.bg) has joined ##English
--> panhl (taCo@123.144.19.206) has joined ##English
<Maxdamantus> I think in text "Redmine's features" has more emphasis on the features bit.
<-- JSharpe_ has quit (Read error: Connection reset by peer)
<enchilado> hmm
<Maxdamantus> in "Redmine features" I think automatically of the two words being relatively similar in importa
nce.
<rindolf> Well you can say "Read more about the Redmine features."
<enchilado> well, say this was on a website and you were going to have other pages
<enchilado> if it was the former, I would expect to see the other pages being the features of other things
<Maxdamantus> That one imo defaults to more emphasis on "features".
<enchilado> while with the latter, I would expect to see the other pages discuss parts of Redmine that weren't
features
--> JSharpe (jamie@5ad1d7fa.bb.sky.com) has joined ##English
<enchilado> "these features are Redmine", is how I read the former
<cntrational> enchilado: actually, I'd think the other way <__<
<cntrational> the former is more emphasis on "Redmine", the latter on "features"
<cntrational> rindolf: but grammatically, it's ok
```